

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/712,755	Applicant(s) LANE ET AL.	
	Examiner Steven D. Maki	Art Unit 1791	

All Participants:

(1) Steven D. Maki.

(2) Jan Hostasa.

Date of Interview: 25 September 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-4 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Wagers et al (US 5,525,414) and Hayes et al (US 5,362,515)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Steven D. Maki/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 9-24-08, examiner made courtesy call to applicant's representative Margaret Millikin. Examiner was informed that she no longer works for the company. On 9-25-08, Jan Hostasa (paralegal for company) called examiner. Examiner informed Jan Hostasa that examiner's call is to inform applicant's representative that the finality of the last office action has been withdrawn in view of newly discovered prior art to Wagers et al and Hayes et al, which show that a defoamer is a conventional additive for a sizing composition. Examiner commented that the after final amendment filed 8-28-08 has been entered and that a non-final office action including rejections using at least one of Wagers et al and Hayes et al will be mailed.